Evaluation Procedures proposed by the ASC subcommittee 1

First Year:

- A. Teaching:
 - 1. Teaching and Course Evaluation of all courses taught Form T1.
 - 2. In class teaching observation every semester:
 - i. Pre-observation informative meeting with the Chairperson;
 - ii. Observation by two peers Form T2;
 - iii. Compiled form by Department Secretary Form T2;
 - iv. Post-observation meeting with Chairperson Form T3;
 - 3. Start compilation of a teaching portfolio Form T4
- **B.** Research: The faculty member shows evidence of starting a research program
- C. Services: No expectations; evidence of integration into NDU's environment

Subsequent Years:

- A. Teaching:
 - **1.** Teaching and Course Evaluation of all courses taught **Form T1**.
 - 2. In class teaching observation every semester years 2 and 3 at most:
 - i. Observation by two peers Form T2;
 - ii. Compiled form by Department Secretary Form T2;
 - iii. Post-observation meeting with Chairperson Form T3;
 - 3. Continuous addition of material to the portfolio Form T4
- **B.** Research:
 - 1. Research Plan every two years **Form R1**:
 - i. Draft discussed with a peer in a similar discipline;
 - ii. Reviewed with the chairperson before final submission;
 - iii. A progress report is filed two years later along with a new research plan;
 - 2. Listing of research and scholarly work since last evaluation Form R2
- C. Services:
 - 1. Report for every participation to University standing and ad-hoc committee; to be filled once work is completed **Forms S2A and S2B as appropriate**;
 - 2. Listing of services and contribution since last evaluation Form S1
 - 3. Experimental Advisor's evaluation Form S3

Path and Procedures for file Review and Evaluation:

- I. All forms collected and made available to the DPC prior to evaluation meeting
- II. File reviewed by DPC with quantitative and qualitative evaluation and recommendation Form TRS
- **III.** Recommendation reviewed by the concerned faculty member who may choose to write a reply added to the file.
- **IV.** All file to FPC. Two outcomes:
 - 1. Supports the recommendation of the DPC and sends the file to the Dean;
 - 2. Digresses with the DPC, justifies digression in writing and fills **Form TRS**. Reviewed by Faculty member who may choose to write a reply. File sent to the Dean;
- V. Dean's recommendation. Two outcomes:
 - 1. Supports the recommendation of the FPC and sends the file to the VPAA;
 - 2. Digresses with the FPC, justifies digression in writing, sends to the VPAA;
- **VI.** VPAA drafts final recommendation based on all evaluations and replies, if any. Only in case of a denial of reappointment is the file sent to the UFPC
- **VII.** Recommendation sent to the President for final action.