The effect of geometry on the eigenvalues of the Laplacian

Ahmad El Soufi Laboratoire de Mathématiques et Physique Théorique UMR CNRS 7350, Université François Rabelais de Tours F-37200 Tours France

▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - の々ぐ

Part I : Euclidean domains

<□ > < @ > < E > < E > E のQ @

Part I : Euclidean domains

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a bounded domain and consider the eigenvalue problem

$$\begin{cases} \Delta u + \lambda u = 0 & \text{in } \Omega \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial \Omega. \end{cases}$$

with

$$\Delta = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_1^2} + \dots + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_n^2}$$

◆□ ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 • 의 Q @</p>

The corresponding spectrum is discrete

$$0 < \lambda_1(\Omega) < \lambda_2(\Omega) \leq \lambda_3(\Omega) \leq \cdots \to \infty$$

The corresponding spectrum is discrete

$$0 < \lambda_1(\Omega) < \lambda_2(\Omega) \le \lambda_3(\Omega) \le \cdots \to \infty$$

 $\forall u \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$

$$\int_{\Omega} u\Delta u = \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2$$

The corresponding spectrum is discrete

$$0 < \lambda_1(\Omega) < \lambda_2(\Omega) \le \lambda_3(\Omega) \le \cdots \to \infty$$

 $\forall u \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$

$$\int_{\Omega} u \Delta u = \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2$$

$$\lambda_1(\Omega) = \inf_{u \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)} rac{\int_\Omega |
abla u|^2}{\int_\Omega u^2}$$

and

$$\lambda_k(\Omega) = \inf_{E \in S_k} \sup_{u \in E} \frac{\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2}{\int_{\Omega} u^2}$$

where S_k is the set of all *k*-dimensional vector subspaces of $C_0^{\infty}(\Omega)$.

Drum :



The equation that governs the vibrations of the membrane of a drum is the wave equation

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} f - \Delta_x f &= 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega \\ f &= 0 \quad \text{on } \partial \Omega \end{cases}$$

where f(x, t) represents the height at time t above the point x.

Separation of variables :

$$f(x,t) = \omega(t)u(x)$$

satisfies the wave equation iff there exists $\lambda > 0$ such that

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} \omega + \lambda \omega &= 0\\ \Delta u + \lambda u &= 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega\\ u &= 0 \quad \text{on } \partial \Omega. \end{cases}$$

Thus

$$\omega(t) = A\cos(\sqrt{\lambda}t) + B\sin(\sqrt{\lambda}t)$$

and

$$\begin{cases} \Delta u + \lambda u = 0 & \text{in } \Omega \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial \Omega. \end{cases}$$

which means that $\frac{1}{2\pi}\sqrt{\lambda}$ is the frequency of the vibration.

Diffusion of heat :

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}f(x,t) - \Delta_x f(x,t) &= 0 & \text{in } \Omega\\ f(x,0) &= g(x) & \text{in } \Omega\\ f(x,t) &= 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

where f(x, t) represents the temperature at the point $x \in \overline{\Omega}$ and time t. The initial data g(x) is assumed to be zero on $\partial\Omega$.

・ロト・日本・モト・モート ヨー うへで

Diffusion of heat :

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}f(x,t) - \Delta_{x}f(x,t) &= 0 & \text{in } \Omega\\ f(x,0) &= g(x) & \text{in } \Omega\\ f(x,t) &= 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

where f(x, t) represents the temperature at the point $x \in \overline{\Omega}$ and time t. The initial data g(x) is assumed to be zero on $\partial\Omega$. Applying the separation of variables we show that

$$f(x,t) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_k e^{-\lambda_k t} u_k(x)$$

where $\{u_k\}$ is an L^2 -orthonormal basis of eigenfunctions with $\Delta u_k = \lambda_k u_k$ and $a_k = \int_{\Omega} g(x) u_k(x) dx$.

$$f(x,t) = e^{-\lambda_1 t} \left(a_1 u_1(x) + 0(e^{\lambda_2 - \lambda_1)t}) \right)$$
 as $t \to \infty$

Examples:

The rectangle $R_{a,b} = (0,a) \times (0,b)$:

$$Spec(R_{a,b}) = \left\{ \lambda_{n,m} = \pi^2 \left(\frac{n^2}{a^2} + \frac{m^2}{b^2} \right) : n \ge 1, m \ge 1 \right\}$$

with corresponding eigenfunctions

$$u_{n,m}(x,y) = \sin(\frac{n\pi}{a}x)\sin(\frac{m\pi}{b}y)$$

In particular, the least eigenvalue is given by

$$\lambda_1(R_{a,b}) = \pi^2 \left(\frac{1}{a^2} + \frac{1}{b^2}\right)$$

<u>The unit disk</u> $D_1 = \{x^2 + y^2 < 1\}$ Using polar coordinates (r, θ) , we may write

$$u(r,\theta) = v_0(r) + \sum_{n \ge 1} \left(v_n(r) \cos(n\theta) + v_{-n}(r) \sin(n\theta) \right)$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

<u>The unit disk</u> $D_1 = \{x^2 + y^2 < 1\}$ Using polar coordinates (r, θ) , we may write

$$u(r,\theta) = v_0(r) + \sum_{n\geq 1} \left(v_n(r) \cos(n\theta) + v_{-n}(r) \sin(n\theta) \right)$$

$$r^2 v_n'' + r v_n' + (r^2 \lambda - n^2) v_n = 0$$

with $v_n(1) = 0$. Setting $v_n(r) = y(\sqrt{\lambda}r)$ we get, with $s = \sqrt{\lambda}r$,

$$s^2y'' + sy' + (s^2 - n^2)y = 0$$

(Bessel equation) with $y(\sqrt{\lambda}) = 0$. Thus, $v_n(r) = J_n(\sqrt{\lambda}r)$, where J_n is a Bessel function of the first kind. The eigenvalues of D_1 are the squares of the zeroes of J_n . In particular,

$$\lambda_1(D_1) = j_{0,1}^2 \approx (2.4048)^2$$

where $j_{0,1}$ is the first positive zero of the Bessel function J_0 .

<ロ> <@> < E> < E> E のQの

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a bounded domain.

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a bounded domain. One has

$$\lambda_k(c \ \Omega) = rac{1}{c^2} \lambda_k(\Omega) \quad ext{and} \quad |c \ \Omega| = c^n |\Omega|$$

・ロト・日本・モト・モート ヨー うへで

where $|\Omega|$ stands for the volume of Ω .

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a bounded domain. One has

$$\lambda_k(c \ \Omega) = rac{1}{c^2} \lambda_k(\Omega) \quad ext{and} \quad |c \ \Omega| = c^n |\Omega|$$

where $|\Omega|$ stands for the volume of Ω .

Weyl's asymptotic formula (1911) :

$$\lambda_k(\Omega) pprox C_n |\Omega|^{-rac{2}{n}} k^{rac{2}{n}}, \quad ext{ as } k o \infty$$

where $C_n = 4\pi^2 \omega_n^{-\frac{2}{n}}$ with $\omega_n =$ volume of the unit ball B^n .

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a bounded domain. One has

$$\lambda_k(c \ \Omega) = rac{1}{c^2} \lambda_k(\Omega) \quad ext{and} \quad |c \ \Omega| = c^n |\Omega|$$

where $|\Omega|$ stands for the volume of Ω .

Weyl's asymptotic formula (1911) :

$$\lambda_k(\Omega) pprox C_n |\Omega|^{-rac{2}{n}} k^{rac{2}{n}}, \quad ext{ as } k o \infty$$

where $C_n = 4\pi^2 \omega_n^{-\frac{2}{n}}$ with ω_n = volume of the unit ball B^n .

In dimension 2

$$\lambda_k(\Omega)pprox 4\pirac{k}{|\Omega|}, \quad ext{ as } k o\infty$$

Conjecture of Pólya (1961): $\forall k \geq 1$

$$\lambda_k(\Omega) \geq C_n |\Omega|^{-\frac{2}{n}} k^{\frac{2}{n}}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

Conjecture of Pólya (1961): $\forall k \geq 1$

$$\lambda_k(\Omega) \geq C_n |\Omega|^{-\frac{2}{n}} k^{\frac{2}{n}}$$

Li-Yau (1983):
$$\forall k \geq 1$$

$$\lambda_k(\Omega) \geq \frac{n}{n+2} C_n |\Omega|^{-\frac{2}{n}} k^{\frac{2}{n}}$$

(ロ)、(型)、(E)、(E)、 E) の(の)

<ロ> <@> < E> < E> E のQの

Define, $\forall k \geq 1$,

$$\lambda_k^* = \inf_{\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n \, ; \, |\Omega| = 1} \lambda_k(\Omega)$$

<□ > < @ > < E > < E > E のQ @

Define, $\forall k \geq 1$,

$$\lambda_k^* = \inf_{\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n \, ; \, |\Omega| = 1} \lambda_k(\Omega) = \inf_{\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n} \lambda_k(\Omega) |\Omega|^{\frac{2}{n}}.$$

<□ > < @ > < E > < E > E のQ @

Define, $\forall k \geq 1$,

$$\lambda_k^* = \inf_{\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n; |\Omega|=1} \lambda_k(\Omega) = \inf_{\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n} \lambda_k(\Omega) |\Omega|^{\frac{2}{n}}.$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

<u>Remark 1</u> : λ_k^* does not change if we add a connectedness constraint in the definition.

Define, $\forall k \geq 1$,

$$\lambda_k^* = \inf_{\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n; |\Omega|=1} \lambda_k(\Omega) = \inf_{\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n} \lambda_k(\Omega) |\Omega|^{\frac{2}{n}}.$$

<u>Remark 1</u> : λ_k^* does not change if we add a connectedness constraint in the definition.

 $\begin{array}{l} \underline{\operatorname{Remark}\ 2} : \ \sup_{\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n \, ; \, |\Omega| = 1} \lambda_1(\Omega) = +\infty \\ \\ \text{For example, for the rectangle } R_{a,b} \text{ one has} \end{array}$

$$\lambda_1(R_{a,b}) = \pi^2 \left(rac{1}{a^2} + rac{1}{b^2}
ight) o \infty$$

as $a \to 0$ and $b = \frac{1}{a}$.

<u>Faber-Krahn</u> (1924): $\lambda_1^* = \lambda_1(B^n)\omega_n^{\frac{2}{n}} = j_{\frac{n}{2}-1,1}^2\omega_n^{\frac{2}{n}}$, i.e. the infimum of λ_1 is uniquely achieved by a ball of unit volume.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

<u>Faber-Krahn</u> (1924): $\lambda_1^* = \lambda_1(B^n)\omega_n^{\frac{2}{n}} = j_{\frac{n}{2}-1,1}^2\omega_n^{\frac{2}{n}}$, i.e. the infimum of λ_1 is uniquely achieved by a ball of unit volume. In dimension 2 : $\lambda_1^* = \pi j_{0,1}^2 \approx 18.168$



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

<u>Faber-Krahn</u> (1924): $\lambda_1^* = \lambda_1(B^n)\omega_n^{\frac{2}{n}} = j_{\frac{n}{2}-1,1}^2\omega_n^{\frac{2}{n}}$, i.e. the infimum of λ_1 is uniquely achieved by a ball of unit volume. In dimension 2 : $\lambda_1^* = \pi j_{0,1}^2 \approx 18.168$



Recall that $Heat(x, t) \approx c(x)e^{-\lambda_1(\Omega)t}$



$\frac{\text{Krahn-Szegö}}{\text{The infimum of }\lambda_2}: \qquad \lambda_2^* = 2^{\frac{2}{n}}\lambda_1^*$ The infimum of λ_2 is achieved by the disjoint union of two balls of the same radius.



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへで

Dimension 2:

Conjecture :
$$\lambda_3^* = \pi \lambda_3(\textit{disk}) = \pi \, j_{1,1}^2 \approx 46.125$$



<□ > < @ > < E > < E > E のQ @

Dimension 2 :

$$\underline{\mathsf{Conjecture}}:\qquad\lambda_3^*=\pi\lambda_3(\mathit{disk})=\pi\;j_{1,1}^2\approx \mathsf{46.125}$$



 $\begin{array}{l} \underline{\text{Conjecture}}: \ \lambda_4^* \ \text{is achieved by the union of two disks whose radii} \\ \overline{\text{are in the ratio}} \ \frac{j_{0,1}}{j_{1,1}} \approx 1.59 \ \text{and} \quad \ \lambda_4^* = \lambda_1^* + \lambda_3^* \approx 64.293 \end{array}$



 $\underline{\text{Szegö problem}}$: Does λ_k^* achieved by a disk or a union of disks for all $k \geq 1$?

 $\underline{\text{Szegö problem}}$: Does λ_k^* achieved by a disk or a union of disks for all $k \geq 1$?

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

<u>Wolf-Keller</u> (1994) : λ_{13}^{*} is not achieved by a disk or a union of disks

 $\underline{\text{Szegö problem}}$: Does λ_k^* achieved by a disk or a union of disks for all $k \geq 1$?

<u>Wolf-Keller</u> (1994) : λ_{13}^* is not achieved by a disk or a union of disks

Amandine Berger (2015) : $\forall k \ge 5$, λ_k^* is not achieved by a disk or a union of disks

Edouard Oudet (2004)

No	Formes optimales de W&K	Formes optimales
3	46.125	46.125
4	64.293	64.293
5	0 0 82.462	78.47
6	92.250	88.96
7	0 0 110.42	0 107.47
8	127.88	119.9
9	000 138.37	133.52
10	154.62	143.45


<u>Colbois-E.</u> (Math. Z. 2014) : The sequence $\lambda_k^{*n/2}$ is subadditive, i.e., $\forall i_1, i_2, \cdots, i_p \in \mathbb{N}^*$ with $i_1 + i_2 + \cdots + i_p = k$,

$$\lambda_k^{*n/2} \leq \lambda_{i_1}^{*n/2} + \lambda_{i_2}^{*n/2} + \dots + \lambda_{i_p}^{*n/2}.$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

<u>Colbois-E.</u> (Math. Z. 2014) : The sequence $\lambda_k^{*n/2}$ is subadditive, i.e., $\forall i_1, i_2, \cdots, i_p \in \mathbb{N}^*$ with $i_1 + i_2 + \cdots + i_p = k$,

$$\lambda_k^{*n/2} \le \lambda_{i_1}^{*n/2} + \lambda_{i_2}^{*n/2} + \dots + \lambda_{i_p}^{*n/2}$$

The equality holds iff there exists a minimizing sequence Ω_N for λ_k such that each Ω_N is a disjoint union of p domains A_1^N, \dots, A_p^N with, for each $k \leq p$, A_k^N is, up to volume normalization, a minimizing sequence of domains for λ_{i_k} .

$$\lambda_{k+1}^* {}^{\frac{n}{2}} - \lambda_k^* {}^{\frac{n}{2}} \le \lambda_1^* {}^{\frac{n}{2}} = j_{\frac{n}{2}-1,1}^n \omega_n$$

<□ > < @ > < E > < E > E のQ @

$$\lambda_{k+1}^{*}^{\frac{n}{2}} - \lambda_{k}^{*}^{\frac{n}{2}} \le \lambda_{1}^{*}^{\frac{n}{2}} = j_{\frac{n}{2}-1,1}^{n} \omega_{n}$$

In particular, in dimension 2

$$\lambda_{k+1}^* - \lambda_k^* \le \pi \; j_{0,1}^2 pprox$$
 18.168

◆□ ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 • 의 Q @</p>

$$\lambda_{k+1}^*{}^{\frac{n}{2}} - \lambda_k^*{}^{\frac{n}{2}} \le \lambda_1^*{}^{\frac{n}{2}} = j_{\frac{n}{2}-1,1}^n \omega_n$$

In particular, in dimension 2

$$\lambda_{k+1}^* - \lambda_k^* \le \pi \; j_{0,1}^2 pprox$$
 18.168

Consequence : some of the numerical computations made by Oudet are not accurate. For example, he obtained $\lambda_6^*\approx 88.96$ and $\lambda_7^*\approx 107.47$, but 107.47 – 88.96 exceeds 18.168.

Improvements of Oudet's calculations have been obtained recently by Antunes and Freitas using our theorem.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

$$\lambda_{k+1}^*{}^{\frac{n}{2}} - \lambda_k^*{}^{\frac{n}{2}} \le \lambda_1^*{}^{\frac{n}{2}} = j_{\frac{n}{2}-1,1}^n \omega_n$$

In particular, in dimension 2

$$\lambda_{k+1}^* - \lambda_k^* \le \pi \; j_{0,1}^2 pprox$$
 18.168

Consequence : some of the numerical computations made by Oudet are not accurate. For example, he obtained $\lambda_6^*\approx 88.96$ and $\lambda_7^*\approx 107.47$, but 107.47 – 88.96 exceeds 18.168.

Improvements of Oudet's calculations have been obtained recently by Antunes and Freitas using our theorem.

Iterating the inequality of the Corollary we get

$$\lambda_k^* \le \pi j_{0,1}^2 k$$

which, together with Polya's conjecture gives

$$4\pi k \leq \lambda_k^* \leq 5.784 \ \pi k$$

Fekete's Subadditive Lemma leads to :

 $\underline{\operatorname{Corollary}}$: The sequence $\frac{\lambda_k^*(n)}{k^{2/n}}$ converges to a positive limit with

$$\lim_k \frac{\lambda_k^*(n)}{k^{2/n}} = \inf_k \frac{\lambda_k^*(n)}{k^{2/n}}.$$

Fekete's Subadditive Lemma leads to :

 $\underline{\operatorname{Corollary}}$: The sequence $\frac{\lambda_k^*(n)}{k^{2/n}}$ converges to a positive limit with

$$\lim_k \frac{\lambda_k^*(n)}{k^{2/n}} = \inf_k \frac{\lambda_k^*(n)}{k^{2/n}}.$$

Thus,

Pólya's conjecture
$$\Leftrightarrow$$
 $\lim_k rac{\lambda_k^*(n)}{k^{2/n}} = 4\pi^2 \omega_n^{-2/n}$

<u>Question</u>: Does any finite sequence $0 < \lambda_1 < \lambda_2 \leq \cdots \leq \lambda_p$ of numbers can be realized as the beginning of the Dirichlet spectrum of a bounded domain ?

<u>Question</u>: Does any finite sequence $0 < \lambda_1 < \lambda_2 \leq \cdots \leq \lambda_p$ of numbers can be realized as the beginning of the Dirichlet spectrum of a bounded domain ?

Payne-Pólya-Weinberger (1954): $\forall \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\forall k \geq 1$,

$$\lambda_{k+1}(\Omega) - \lambda_k(\Omega) \leq rac{4}{n} \; rac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i(\Omega).$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

<u>Question</u>: Does any finite sequence $0 < \lambda_1 < \lambda_2 \leq \cdots \leq \lambda_p$ of numbers can be realized as the beginning of the Dirichlet spectrum of a bounded domain ?

Payne-Pólya-Weinberger (1954): $\forall \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\forall k \geq 1$,

$$\lambda_{k+1}(\Omega) - \lambda_k(\Omega) \leq rac{4}{n} \; rac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i(\Omega).$$

In particular,

$$rac{\lambda_{k+1}(\Omega)}{\lambda_k(\Omega)} \leq 1 + rac{4}{n}$$

<u>Question</u>: Does any finite sequence $0 < \lambda_1 < \lambda_2 \leq \cdots \leq \lambda_p$ of numbers can be realized as the beginning of the Dirichlet spectrum of a bounded domain ?

Payne-Pólya-Weinberger (1954): $\forall \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\forall k \geq 1$,

$$\lambda_{k+1}(\Omega) - \lambda_k(\Omega) \leq rac{4}{n} \; rac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i(\Omega).$$

In particular,

$$rac{\lambda_{k+1}(\Omega)}{\lambda_k(\Omega)} \leq 1 + rac{4}{n}$$

Ashbaugh-Benguria (1992):

$$\frac{\lambda_2(\Omega)}{\lambda_1(\Omega)} \leq \frac{\lambda_2(B^n)}{\lambda_1(B^n)}.$$

Yang (1991): $\forall \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\forall k \geq 1$,

$$\sum_{i=1}^k \left(\lambda_{k+1}(\Omega) - \lambda_i(\Omega)\right)^2 \leq \frac{4}{n} \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i(\Omega) \left(\lambda_k(\Omega) - \lambda_i(\Omega)\right).$$

<□ > < @ > < E > < E > E のQ @

Yang (1991): $\forall \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\forall k \geq 1$,

$$\sum_{i=1}^k \left(\lambda_{k+1}(\Omega) - \lambda_i(\Omega)\right)^2 \leq \frac{4}{n} \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i(\Omega) \left(\lambda_k(\Omega) - \lambda_i(\Omega)\right).$$

Cheng-Yang (2007):

$$\frac{\lambda_{k+1}(\Omega)}{\lambda_1(\Omega)} \leq \left(1+\frac{4}{n}\right) k^{\frac{2}{n}}.$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

◆□ ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 • 의 Q @</p>

Given a compact hypersurface $M \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, we associate the quadratic form

$$u\mapsto \int_M |\nabla^M u|^2 d\sigma$$

・ロト・日本・モト・モート ヨー うへで

where $\nabla^{M} u$ is the tangential part of the gradient.

Given a compact hypersurface $M \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, we associate the quadratic form

$$u\mapsto \int_M |\nabla^M u|^2 d\sigma$$

where $\nabla^{M} u$ is the tangential part of the gradient.

The corresponding symmetric operator Δ_M is the Laplace-Beltrami operator on M, i.e.

$$\int_{\mathcal{M}} u \Delta_{\mathcal{M}} u \ d\sigma := \int_{\mathcal{M}} |\nabla^{\mathcal{M}} u|^2 d\sigma.$$

The spectrum of Δ_M is discrete

$$0 = \lambda_0(M) < \lambda_1(M) \le \lambda_2(M) \le \ldots \lambda_k(M) \le \cdots \to \infty$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Given a compact hypersurface $M \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, we associate the quadratic form

$$u\mapsto \int_M |\nabla^M u|^2 d\sigma$$

where $\nabla^{M} u$ is the tangential part of the gradient.

The corresponding symmetric operator Δ_M is the Laplace-Beltrami operator on M, i.e.

$$\int_{\mathcal{M}} u \Delta_{\mathcal{M}} u \ d\sigma := \int_{\mathcal{M}} |\nabla^{\mathcal{M}} u|^2 d\sigma.$$

The spectrum of Δ_M is discrete

$$0 = \lambda_0(M) < \lambda_1(M) \le \lambda_2(M) \le \ldots \lambda_k(M) \le \cdots \to \infty$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

$$\lambda_k(M) pprox C_n |M|^{-rac{2}{n}} k^{rac{2}{n}}, \quad ext{ as } k o \infty.$$

・ロト・日本・モート モー うへで

$$\lambda_k(M) \approx C_n |M|^{-\frac{2}{n}} k^{\frac{2}{n}}, \quad \text{ as } k \to \infty.$$

Cheeger's dumbbell example :

$$\inf_{M\subset\mathbb{R}^{n+1};|M|=1}\lambda_k(M)=0.$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

$$\lambda_k(M) \approx C_n |M|^{-\frac{2}{n}} k^{\frac{2}{n}}, \quad \text{ as } k \to \infty.$$

Cheeger's dumbbell example :

$$\inf_{M\subset\mathbb{R}^{n+1};|M|=1}\lambda_k(M)=0.$$

Colbois-Dryden-E. (BLMS, 2009) :

$$\sup_{M\subset\mathbb{R}^{n+1};|M|=1}\lambda_k(M)=\infty.$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

$$\lambda_k(M) \approx C_n |M|^{-\frac{2}{n}} k^{\frac{2}{n}}, \quad \text{ as } k \to \infty.$$

Cheeger's dumbbell example :

$$\inf_{M\subset\mathbb{R}^{n+1};|M|=1}\lambda_k(M)=0.$$

Colbois-Dryden-E. (BLMS, 2009) :
$$\sup_{M\subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}; |M|=1} \lambda_k(M) = \infty.$$

Thus, Polya's conjecture has no analogue for hypersurfaces. It is necessary to involve additional geometric quantities in order to bound the eigenvalues. Define

$$i(M) = \sup_{L} \# M \cap L,$$

where L runs over the set of all lines which are transverse to M.

Define

$$i(M) = \sup_{L} \# M \cap L,$$

where L runs over the set of all lines which are transverse to M.

If M is a convex surface then i(M) = 2

Define

$$i(M) = \sup_{L} \# M \cap L,$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

where L runs over the set of all lines which are transverse to M.

- If M is a convex surface then i(M) = 2
- If M is algebraic, then $i(M) \leq$ algebraic degree.
- i(M) is called "Thom's degree" of M.

Colbois-Dryden-E. (BLMS, 2009) :

$$\lambda_k(M)|M|^{\frac{2}{n}} \leq c(n) \ i(M)^{2/n} \ k^{2/n}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

where c(n) is a constant depending only on n.

Colbois-Dryden-E. (BLMS, 2009) :

$$\lambda_k(M)|M|^{\frac{2}{n}} \leq c(n) \ i(M)^{2/n} \ k^{2/n}$$

where c(n) is a constant depending only on n.

Corollary : If $M = P^{-1}(0)$, where P is a polynomial of degree d, then

$$\lambda_k(M)|M|^{\frac{2}{n}} \leq c(n) \ d^{2/n} \ k^{2/n}.$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Colbois-Dryden-E. (BLMS, 2009) :

$$\lambda_k(M)|M|^{\frac{2}{n}} \leq c(n) \ i(M)^{2/n} \ k^{2/n}$$

where c(n) is a constant depending only on n.

Corollary : If $M = P^{-1}(0)$, where P is a polynomial of degree d, then

$$\lambda_k(M)|M|^{\frac{2}{n}} \leq c(n) \ d^{2/n} \ k^{2/n}.$$

Corollary : For any convex hypersurface M, one has

$$\lambda_k(M)|M|^{\frac{2}{n}} \leq c(n) k^{2/n}.$$

Open problem :

$$\sup_{M \text{ convex}} \lambda_1(M) |M|^{\frac{2}{n}} = \lambda_1(\mathbb{S}^n) |\mathbb{S}^n|^{\frac{2}{n}} ?$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Colbois-E.-Girouard (Crelle's 2012) :

$$\lambda_k(M)|M|^{2/n} \leq c(n) I(\Omega_M)^{\frac{n+2}{n}} k^{2/n}$$

where Ω_M is the bounded domain such that $\partial \Omega_M = M$ and

$$I(\Omega_M) = \frac{|M|}{|\Omega_M|^{\frac{n}{n+1}}}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ □臣 = のへで

is the isoperimetric ratio of Ω_M .

Colbois-E.-Girouard (Crelle's 2012) :

$$\lambda_k(M)|M|^{2/n} \leq c(n) I(\Omega_M)^{\frac{n+2}{n}} k^{2/n}$$

where Ω_M is the bounded domain such that $\partial \Omega_M = M$ and

$$I(\Omega_M) = \frac{|M|}{|\Omega_M|^{\frac{n}{n+1}}}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ □臣 = のへで

is the isoperimetric ratio of Ω_M .

Dimension 2 :

Does the *k*-th eigenvalue bounded above on the set of compact surfaces of fixed area and given topology?

Dimension 2 :

Does the k-th eigenvalue bounded above on the set of compact surfaces of fixed area and given topology?

Yang-Yau (1980) for k = 1 and Korevaar (1993) for $k \ge 1$:

$$\sup_{|M|=1} \lambda_k(M) \leq c \; (\operatorname{genus}(M) + 1) \; k$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Dimension 2 :

Does the k-th eigenvalue bounded above on the set of compact surfaces of fixed area and given topology?

Yang-Yau (1980) for k = 1 and Korevaar (1993) for $k \ge 1$:

$$\sup_{|M|=1} \lambda_k(M) \leq c \; (\operatorname{genus}(M) + 1) \; k$$

Asma Hassanezhad (2011) :

$$\sup_{|M|=1} \lambda_k(M) \leq c_1 ext{ genus}(M) + c_2 ext{ k}$$

Here c, c_1 , c_2 are universal constants.

For a compact surface M_0 we define

 $\lambda_k^*(M_0) = \sup\{\lambda_k(M); M \text{ homeomorphic to } M_0 \text{ and } |M| = 1\}.$

<u>Hersch</u> (1970): $\lambda_1^*(\mathbb{S}^2) = \lambda_1(\text{round sphere}) = 8\pi$



<u>Nadirashvili</u> (1996) : For the torus $\lambda_1^*(\mathbb{T}^2) = \frac{8\pi^2}{\sqrt{3}}$



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 三臣 - のへぐ


V. Borrelli, S. Jabrane, F. Lazarus, D. Rohmer, B. Thibe

- -

・ロト ・聞ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

Figure : The image of a square flat torus by a C^1 isometric map (Borrelli, Jabrane, Lazarus, Thibert)

<u>Li-Yau</u> (1982) : For the projective plane $\lambda_1^*(\mathbb{R}P^2) = 12\pi$ <u>E.-Giacomini-Jazar</u> (Duke, 2006) : The Klein bottle

$$\lambda_1^*(\mathbb{K}^2) = 12\pi E(2\sqrt{2}/3) \simeq 13.365 \,\pi,$$

where $E(2\sqrt{2}/3)$ is the complete elliptic integral of the second kind evaluated at $\frac{2\sqrt{2}}{3}$ (based on results by Nadirashvili (1996) and Jakobson-Nadirashvili-Polterovich (2003))

Nadirashvili (2002) :

$$\lambda_2^*(\mathbb{S}^2) = 2\lambda_1^*(\mathbb{S}^2) = 16\pi.$$

Surfaces of higher genus :





$\begin{array}{lll} \lambda_k^*(\gamma) &=& \sup\{\lambda_k(M) \ : \ \mathsf{M} \ \mathrm{orientable}, \ \mathrm{genus}(M) = \gamma \ \mathrm{and} \ |M| = 1\} \\ &=& \sup\{\lambda_k(M)|M| \ : \ \mathsf{M} \ \mathrm{orientable} \ \mathrm{and} \ \mathrm{genus}(M) = \gamma\}. \end{array}$

・ロト・日本・モト・モート ヨー うへで

 $\begin{array}{lll} \lambda_k^*(\gamma) &=& \sup\{\lambda_k(M) \ : \ \mathsf{M} \ \mathrm{orientable}, \ \mathrm{genus}(M) = \gamma \ \mathrm{and} \ |M| = 1\} \\ &=& \sup\{\lambda_k(M)|M| \ : \ \mathsf{M} \ \mathrm{orientable} \ \mathrm{and} \ \mathrm{genus}(M) = \gamma\}. \end{array}$

<u>Theorem</u> (Colbois-E.) : $\lambda_k^*(\gamma) \le \lambda_k^*(\gamma+1)$.

 $\begin{array}{rcl} \lambda_k^*(\gamma) &=& \sup\{\lambda_k(M) \ : \ \mathsf{M} \ \mathrm{orientable}, \ \mathrm{genus}(M) = \gamma \ \mathrm{and} \ |M| = 1\} \\ &=& \sup\{\lambda_k(M)|M| \ : \ \mathsf{M} \ \mathrm{orientable} \ \mathrm{and} \ \mathrm{genus}(M) = \gamma\}. \end{array}$

<u>Theorem</u> (Colbois-E.) : $\lambda_k^*(\gamma) \le \lambda_k^*(\gamma+1).$

<u>Theorem</u> (Colbois-E.) : Let $\gamma \ge 0$ and $k \ge 1$. Let $\gamma_1 \dots, \gamma_p$ and i_1, \dots, i_p be such that $\gamma_1 + \dots + \gamma_p = \gamma$ and $i_1 + \dots + i_p = k$, then

$$\lambda_k^*(\gamma) \geq \lambda_{i_1}^*(\gamma_1) + \cdots + \lambda_{i_p}^*(\gamma_p).$$

 $\begin{array}{rcl} \lambda_k^*(\gamma) &=& \sup\{\lambda_k(M) \ : \ \mathsf{M} \ \mathrm{orientable}, \ \mathrm{genus}(M) = \gamma \ \mathrm{and} \ |M| = 1\} \\ &=& \sup\{\lambda_k(M)|M| \ : \ \mathsf{M} \ \mathrm{orientable} \ \mathrm{and} \ \mathrm{genus}(M) = \gamma\}. \end{array}$

<u>Theorem</u> (Colbois-E.) : $\lambda_k^*(\gamma) \le \lambda_k^*(\gamma+1).$

<u>Theorem</u> (Colbois-E.) : Let $\gamma \ge 0$ and $k \ge 1$. Let $\gamma_1 \dots, \gamma_p$ and i_1, \dots, i_p be such that $\gamma_1 + \dots + \gamma_p = \gamma$ and $i_1 + \dots + i_p = k$, then

$$\lambda_k^*(\gamma) \geq \lambda_{i_1}^*(\gamma_1) + \cdots + \lambda_{i_p}^*(\gamma_p).$$

In particular,

$$\lambda_{k+1}^*(\gamma) - \lambda_k^*(\gamma) \ge 8\pi.$$

Corollary :
$$\forall k \geq 1$$
,

$$rac{4}{5}\pi\gamma+8\pi k-9\pi\leq\lambda_k^*(\gamma)\leq A\gamma+Bk.$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

No PPW like inequalities since

$$\sup_{M} \frac{\lambda_{k+1}(M)}{\lambda_k(M)} = +\infty$$

and

$$\inf_{M} \frac{\lambda_{k+1}(M)}{\lambda_k(M)} = 1.$$

◆□ ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 • 의 Q @</p>